

March 12, 2014

1000 Friends of Florida • Alabama Rivers Alliance • American Bird Conservancy • American Rivers • American Whitewater • Apalachicola RiverKeeper • Appalachian Mountain Club • Atlantic Salmon Federation • California Outdoors • California Sportfishing Protection Alliance • California Trout • Center for Biological Diversity • Chesapeake Bay Foundation • Clean Water Action • Connecticut River Watershed Council • Conservancy of Southwest Florida • Conservatives for Responsible Stewardship • Defenders of Wildlife • Endangered Habitats League • Endangered Species Coalition • Epic-Environmental Protection Information Center • Florida Wildlife Federation • Foothill Conservancy • Freshwater Future • Friends of the Earth • Friends of the River • Friends of the Rivers of Virginia • Friends of the White Salmon • Georgia River Network • Great Lakes Council, International Federation of Fly Fishers • Gulf Restoration Network • Gunpowder Riverkeeper • Hydropower Reform Coalition • Idaho Rivers United • Klamath Forest Alliance • League of Conservation Voters • Los Padres ForestWatch • Little Falls Watershed Alliance • Maine Rivers • National Audubon Society • National Parks Conservation Association • Natural Resources Defense Council • Nature Abounds • New England Flow • Northwest Resource Information Center • O.A.R.S. Companies, Inc. • Ohio River Foundation • PennFuture • Pennsylvania Council of Churches • Piedmont Environmental Council • Rio Grande Restoration • River Alliance of Wisconsin • Rivers Alliance of Connecticut • River Source • Sanibel Captiva Conservation Foundation • Save the Dunes • Southern Environmental Law Center • Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance • Sparks-Glencoe Community Planning Council • Stewards of the Lower Susquehanna • Upstate Forever • Water-Culture Institute • WaterWatch of Oregon • WildEarth Guardians

Dear Representative:

We, the undersigned organizations, are writing on behalf of our millions of members nationwide to express our opposition to H.R. 3189, the so-called “Water Rights Protection Act.” While H.R. 3189’s stated intent is to help resolve a narrow conflict over water rights between the U.S. Forest Service and Colorado’s ski industry, it is written far too broadly with serious implications for water management across the country. It would undermine nearly all efforts to improve the health of the nation’s rivers and public lands, including National Parks, National Forests, and National Wildlife Refuges.

This bill should not be fast-tracked; a much closer look is needed to better understand how it will impact the nation's water resources. Unfortunately, the House Natural Resources Committee chose to hold its hearing during the government shutdown. As a result, the Committee marked up the bill without the benefit of expert testimony from the impacted agencies, and the Members of the Committee were denied the opportunity to question witnesses from the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture about the effects of this bill on their districts.

H.R. 3189 would prohibit agencies from requiring conditions that "impair" any water rights, ostensibly to address a narrow conflict between Colorado's ski industry and the U.S. Forest Service. However, the legislative language of H.R. 3189 presents a much more expansive bill that would unnecessarily constrain the Departments of Agriculture and the Interior's flexible approach to water management and prevent them from protecting rivers and public lands. The bill would prevent the Federal Government from conditioning any license, permit, or other approval of water use in such a manner as to impair any privately held water right. This overreaching bill has broad implications for water management that extend far beyond Colorado's ski industry, and would force federal agencies to put private uses of river water ahead of other beneficial public uses like fish, wildlife, and recreation.

H.R. 3189 would prohibit agencies from protecting rivers and public lands. This bill would in effect amend any federal law, such as the Endangered Species Act, that permits agencies to place conditions on permits or licenses that would keep water in rivers to support fish, wildlife, or instream recreation. It would also preempt state laws that allow Federal agencies to impose similar conditions. For instance, H.R. 3189 could prohibit the Forest Service from requiring water diverters to leave some water in a stream on Forest Service land, or stop the Fish and Wildlife Service from requiring flows that attract fish to fish ladders so that they can safely pass over dams.

If H.R. 3189 were to become law, private users of water could dry up rivers on public lands with impunity. While water rights work differently in different states, this bill would affect both western and eastern states. In the west, since any Interior or Forest-Service flow-related condition to protect riparian resources would result in the holder of the right using less of its water for the purpose of its right (e.g. irrigation or hydropower), it could be deemed to constitute a prohibited "impairment" of the state-issued water right under this bill. Enactment of H.R. 3189 could overturn portions of multi-party agreements that have been negotiated to solve some of the West's most challenging water rights conflicts. H.R. 3189 is yet another attempt to overturn the San Joaquin River Settlement, and would also have potentially damaging implications for settlement agreements reached in the Klamath and Yakima River Basins. H.R. 3189 also calls into question the Fish and Wildlife Service's authority to protect endangered species in the Rio Grande River, potentially reigniting controversy and litigation over the fate of the silvery minnow.

In the east, permittees could argue that flows required by a federal agency constitute a limitation on their use of water separate from whatever limitation might be required under applicable state law, and could thus constitute an impairment of their water right. H.R. 3189 could worsen the situation in Florida's Apalachicola Bay by limiting the ability of the Fish and Wildlife Service to place conditions on Army Corps of Engineers' activities in the Apalachicola/Chattahoochee/Flint River Basin. It could also have negative implications for the recovery of the Everglades. What is certain is that H.R. 3189 is an assault on efforts to recover the Chesapeake Bay, due to its impact on Federal Energy Regulatory Commission relicensing proceedings for the Conowingo Dam on the Susquehanna River.

H.R. 3189 would prevent federal agencies across the country from requiring protections for fish and other instream resources like recreational flows in any regulatory action, including mandatory conditions placed on hydropower licenses. Federal agencies would be unable to implement reasonable requirements intended to keep water in rivers for aquatic species and recreation, protect water quality, or ensure safe and effective fish passage.

There are many questions about how H.R. 3189 would affect Bureau of Reclamation water contracts. Without the benefit of expert testimony from the Bureau of Reclamation, it is not clear what impacts this legislation would have on farmers who rely upon leases and permits from Reclamation. Unfortunately, the Natural Resources Committee chose not to hold a hearing in which the Bureau could participate. Likewise, it is not clear what impact this legislation could have on flows through National Wildlife Refuges. Again, the Committee chose to hold a hearing without the expert testimony of the Fish and Wildlife Service.

In addition, H.R. 3189 could seriously compromise the Department of the Interior's critical need to manage the oversubscribed Colorado River. Nine national parks that are literally defined by the Colorado River and that provide millions of dollars to the regional economy would be at risk if this legislation were enacted. Expert testimony from the National Park Service is needed to fully assess the implications of this bill.

There are questions about how this legislation's broad construction could impact federal agencies' efforts to mitigate the impacts of trans-basin diversions. Likewise, questions have been raised about whether or not this bill could be a boon for oil and gas companies who are increasingly in search of new sources of water to fuel rapidly expanding hydrofracking operations. Again, without the benefit of a full and fair hearing, these questions remain unanswered.

What is clear is that as currently written, H.R. 3189 represents an unduly broad and unnecessary attempt to tie the hands of Federal agencies to provide flexible water management options on our public lands and implement reasonable safeguards to protect fish, wildlife, and recreational benefits in the nation's rivers. If enacted, the bill could do irreparable harm to some of our nation's most iconic watersheds, like the Colorado River and the Chesapeake Bay.

Therefore we urge Members to VOTE NO on H.R. 3189.

Respectfully,

Jim Bradley  
Senior Director of Government Relations  
American Rivers

Brian Moore  
Legislative Director  
National Audubon Society

Mary Beth Beetham  
Director of Legislative Affairs  
Defenders of Wildlife

Sara Chieffo  
Legislative Director  
League of Conservation Voters

Alexandra A. Murdoch  
Federal Policy Director  
Chesapeake Bay Foundation

Kenneth D. Kimball  
Director of Research  
Appalachian Mountain Club

Kristen Brengel  
Senior Director, Legislation & Policy  
National Parks Conservation Association

David Jenkins  
President  
Conservatives for Responsible Stewardship

Scott Slesinger  
Legislative Director  
Natural Resources Defense Council

Lynn Thorp  
National Campaigns Director  
Clean Water Action

John Seebach  
Chair  
Hydropower Reform Coalition

Navis A. Bermudez  
Deputy Legislative Director  
Southern Environmental Law Center

Kevin Colburn  
National Stewardship Director  
American Whitewater

Ben Schreiber  
Climate and Energy Program Director  
Friends of the Earth

Anne Law  
Director of Government Relations  
American Bird Conservancy

Leda Huta  
Executive Director  
Endangered Species Coalition

Jen Beasley Ujifusa  
Legislative Director  
Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance

Jen Pelz  
Wild Rivers Program Director  
WildEarth Guardians

William Snape  
Senior Counsel  
Center for Biological Diversity

Dan Silver  
Executive Director  
Endangered Habitats League

Jill M. Ryan  
Executive Director  
Freshwater Future

Cynthia Sarthou  
Executive Director  
Gulf Restoration Network

Rich Cogen  
Executive Director  
Ohio River Foundation

Charles G. Pattison, FAICP  
President  
1000 Friends of Florida

Manley K. Fuller  
President  
Florida Wildlife Federation

Jennifer Hecker  
Director of Natural Resource Policy  
Conservancy of Southwest Florida

Steve Harris  
Executive Director  
Rio Grande Restoration

Rae Ann Wessel  
Natural Resource Policy Director  
Sanibel Captiva Conservation Foundation

David Groenfeldt, PhD  
Director  
Water-Culture Institute

Melinda Hughes-Wert  
President  
Nature Abounds

Bill Tanger  
Chair  
Friends of the Rivers of Virginia

Rich Schrader  
Director  
River Source

Sarah Morse  
Executive Director  
Little Falls Watershed Alliance

Kirsten Burger  
President  
Sparks-Glencoe Community Planning Council

Nicole Barker  
Executive Director  
Save the Dunes

The Rev. Sandra L. Strauss  
Director of Public Advocacy  
Pennsylvania Council of Churches

Cindy Adams Dunn  
President /CEO  
PennFuture

Jeff Kuyper  
Executive Director  
Los Padres ForestWatch

Kimberly Baker  
Executive Director  
Klamath Forest Alliance

Gary Graham Hughes  
Executive Director  
Epic-Environmental Protection Information Center

Christopher G. Miller  
President  
Piedmont Environmental Council

Dan Tonsmeire  
Executive Director  
Apalachicola RiverKeeper

Chris Shutes  
FERC Projects Director  
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance

John DeVoe  
Executive Director  
WaterWatch of Oregon

April Ingle  
Executive Director  
Georgia River Network

Cecily Smith  
Executive Director  
Foothill Conservancy

Mitchell Reid  
Program Director  
Alabama Rivers Alliance

Eric Wesselman  
Executive Director  
Friends of the River

Patricia L. Arnold  
President  
Friends of the White Salmon

Nate Rangel  
President  
California Outdoors

Michael R. Helfrich  
Riverkeeper  
Stewards of the Lower Susquehanna

James Schramm  
President  
Great Lakes Council,  
International Federation of Fly Fishers

Kevin Lewis  
Conservation Director  
Idaho Rivers United

Tom Christopher  
President  
New England Flow

Landis Hudson  
Executive Director  
Maine Rivers

Margaret Miner  
Executive Director  
Rivers Alliance of Connecticut

Andrew Goode  
Vice President, US Programs  
Atlantic Salmon Federation

George R. Wendt  
President  
O.A.R.S. Companies, Inc.

Curtis Knight  
Conservation Director  
California Trout

Ed Chaney  
Director  
Northwest Resource Information Center

Theaux M. Le Gardeur  
Gunpowder Riverkeeper

David L. Deen  
River Steward  
Connecticut River Watershed Council

Denny Caneff  
Executive Director  
River Alliance of Wisconsin

Brad Wyche  
Executive Director  
Upstate Forever